## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

## FILED

2008 JUL 11 PM 3:56

,	Clerk's Office File Stamp  CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TO: U.S.	DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Hon. John A. Houston
FROM:	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: July 8, 2008 01 Y
CASE NO.:	08cv0844 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
CASE TITLE:	Willis v. Kolender, et al.
DOCUMENT I	ENTITLED: Letter
Upon	the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
7.1 or 47.1	
7.1 or 47.1	
7.1 or 47.1	
7.1	Missing table of contents
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	Supplemental documents require court order
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
	OTHER: No ex parte communication
	Date forwarded: <u>July 8, 2008</u> ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE
IT IS HEREBY	CONNED
The docu	ument is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
•	ament is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a his order on all parties.
Rejected	document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant Rule 83.1  CHAMBERS OF:
Dated: _ cc: All P	7/10/08 By: 800 PSLC

